



Fire Behavior

Albert Simeoni

Department of Fire Protection Engineering Worcester Polytechnic Institute

asimeoni@wpi.edu

(508) 831-6333

www.wpi.edu/academics/departments/fire-protection-engineering

Outline



- Introduction
- General Description
- Types of Fire Behavior
- Case Study
- Conclusions



Understanding fire behavior is important because

- It can enhance the safety of the public and the firefighters
- It can help protect property and economic activity
- It can help protect natural resources and the environment
- It can help manage emission for population health and climate change

Aggravating factors are Climate Change that leads to more extreme fire behavior and urban sprawl / population growth that leads to more WUI fires

Liangshan Fire, March 30, 2019 Sichuan province, China 30 firefighters killed (Total fire area: 15 ha)

Mati Fire, Attica, Greece, 2018



Tubbs fire, CA, USA, 2017







Fire behavior phenomena include

- Ignition
- Fire spread
- Flaming
- Smoldering
- Extinction
- Generation and transport of particles (firebrands)
- Fire and plume dynamics and their interaction with the atmosphere



What tools are available?

- Risk indices
 - Canada / US / Europe
 - Not doing a very good job for extreme climatic events

Fire Spread

- Empirical and Semi-empirical models: landscape scale (FBP, MK5, Behave, Farsite)
- CFD models: landscape scale (FIRETEC, WRF-Fire) and WUI scale (FDS)
- Not doing a very good job at quantifying

Fire Safety

- Empirical knowledge / analytical approaches
- Standards/codes (NFPA, ICC, ASTM)
- Best-practice FireWise (USA), FireSmart (Canada), FireSafe (California)
- Not very strong scientific bases



Some very strong needs to be able to achieve any quantification

- Better understand fire fundamentals
 - Combustion
 - Coupling fire / vegetation (solid / gas interaction, layers interaction...)
- Better understand the fire dynamics
 - General fire behavior
 - Fire / ambient interaction (wind, topography, atmosphere, vegetation)
 - Extreme phenomena
- Capture the changing environment
 - All year round fire seasons
 - Change in vegetation cover
 - Expansion of areas at risk of wildfires
 - Vulnerabilities of the Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI)

Outline



- Introduction
- General Description
- Types of Fire Behavior
- Case Study
- Conclusions

7

General Description

Fire Spread is governed by the

- Physical laws
 - Available Fuel
 - Available oxygen
 - Heat transfer (mainly convection and radiation)
- Environmental data
 - Vegetation properties (species, fuel moisture content...)
 - Atmospheric data (wind field, air temperature, air humidity...)

combustion

Topography (slope, canyons, ridges...)



NPI

Energy



A Simple Description of Fire Spread





- 1. A particle is submitted to
 - Radiation
 - Convection

2. Its temperature increases and it looses water

Radiation

Evaporation

Convection



- 3. After drying
 - The particle temperature still increases
 - The dry particle releases pyrolysis gases







 O_2

4. At a given temperature (assumption)

Pyrolysis gases + Oxygen

Flame

The fire has spread

- 5. The particle degrades
 - To form char
 - And then ashes



To understand and describe the fire spread implies to understand and describe:

- Thermal transfer (radiation and convection)
- Mass transfer (dehydration and pyrolysis)
- Combustion (flaming and smoldering)









Fire Front Shape



Head: Most active zone of the fire front (highest rate of spread)

Flanks: Or sides (between head and rear)

Rear: Less active zone (fire spreads against the conditions that favor the head)

- Under certain volatile conditions (i.e. slope, wind), a head can quickly become a flank and vice-versa
- Spotting is due to firebrands (flying embers)





Fire Front Shape

X

No (or weak) wind, flat ground, homogeneous vegetation

Weak wind, heterogeneous vegetation, complex topography

Homogeneous vegetation, low and constant wind, complex topography

Homogeneous vegetation, high and constant wind, complex topography



Fire Front Shape

X



High wind, grass (fire spreading quickly)

High wind, spotting, change in fire regime



Fuel

- To characterize fire behavior, it is necessary to describe
 - The type of fuel
 - Fuel flammability.
 - Physical, chemical and geometrical properties.
 - Particle classes (leaves, branches, trunk...). The thinnest ones are more involved in fire spread.
 - The fuel layout

- Fuel distribution inside the fuel layer.
- Spatial distribution of vegetation (horizontal and vertical).



Fuel



- Particles are classified by size
 - 1-h: 0 ¼ in. (0.635 cm)
 - 10-h: ¼ 1 in. (2.54 cm)
 - 100-h: 1 3 in. (7.62 cm)
 - 1000-h: 3 8 in. (20.32 cm)

The finest particles (1-h) are more involved in fire spread than the others



Fuel



- Particle properties: Fuel moisture content, surface-to volume ratio, heat capacity...
- Fuel layer properties: layout, bulk density, radiation attenuation, permeability...

R

Υ





Overstory = Tree crowns Understory = Shrubs Floor = Grass, litter Soil = Humus, peat



Fuel



1 Litter 2 Shrub 3 Tree







Fuel



- Destructive fuel sampling
- Vegetation measured, cut and weighed
- Local sampling
- Needs to be extrapolated



LiDAR - Laser Scanning data (airborne and ground) Provides (modeled) canopy height and bulk density





Wind



(INRA'Avignon, France)

Low wind Vertical flame

Strong wind Tilted flame



Wind

Main effect: Tilting flames towards unburned fuel

- Increasing heat transfer
- Speeding up drying and pyrolysis
- Supplying oxygen (fresh air)







- Effects similar to wind but...
 - Slope = pointed fire head (radiation view factor from flow)
 - Wind = translation of the fire front (tilt of the whole flame front)



Slope

Main effect: Tilting flames like wind (until flame attachment)

- Increasing heat transfer
- Speeding up drying and pyrolysis
- Supplying oxygen (through fresh air)





Slope and Wind



General Description – Heterogeneities





- Built-up areas
- Rocks
- Fire Scars

- Different vegetation
- Different moisture contents
- Role of wind and topography



General Description – Plume



Plume as an Indicator of Fire Dynamics



 Grey smoke: medium intensity
 Vertical: no

wind

- **GBCCH**
- Grey smoke: medium intensity
- Tilted: medium wind









Dark smoke: high intensity (fully Black smoke with red eddies: very high developed) intensity (all oxygen consumed)

White smoke: low high intensity (moist vegetation or extinction)

Outline



- Introduction
- General Description
- Types of Fire Behavior
- Case Study
- Conclusions



Plume-dominated and wind-driven fires



Plume-dominated

- Fire spread is mainly influenced by the fire itself and weakly by the wind
- Erratic fire behavior: strong updrafts with rapid growth, followed by strong downdrafts after air is cooled in the atmosphere. Collapse of the plume can happen, with possible high winds and short distance spotting



Plume-dominated and wind-driven fires





Wind-driven

- Plume and flames are strongly deflected by the wind. Fire spreads very quickly in direction of wind and rate of spread is quasi proportional to wind speed.
- Can hop forward by projecting firebrands and creating long-distance spot fires



Peat fires



Peat contains as carbon as stored in the Atmosphere (non renewable source) Water resource and biotope CO₂ and CO emissions (among others) Contaminants stored Deep layers from 50 cm to 12 m

Can burn for weeks and even monthsVery difficult to locate and to extinguish

Humus quite deep in forests and dense scrublands

Contains soil' s lifeCan be very dry in summer

(Fire Service of North Corsica)

Shallow layers from 10 to 50 cm

Hot spots lasting days and weeks
Very difficult to locate and to extinguish

35

Types of Fire Behavior

Peat fires

Fire spread and fire severity



Emissions









in collina verdina arte di La di i



Crown fires

- Fires that burn through the canopy layer in a forest or in an elevated shrub layer
- Crowning (when fire climbs to the crowns of trees) depends on the underlying surface fire



- Mostly driven by a surface fire
- Crown fire spread exists only for a range of tree densities



Crown fires



Three different types (by increasing intensity)

- Passive (fire spreads only through surface fire)
- Active (fire spreads in both layers but is supported by surface fire)
- Independent (fire spreads independently through canopy needs optimal fuel density)



Extreme fire behavior

- Characterized by
 - Elevated rate of spread
 - High heat fluxes
 - Ember showers / spotting
 - Merging fires
 - Fire whirls

Will affect

- Safety
- Smoke
- Evacuations







> Extreme fire behavior



Fire whirls

- Can enlarge fire front very quickly
- Create local winds that fan flames
- Can eject a lot of firebrands
- Can be larger, creating a tornado







Extreme fire behavior





Merging Fires

۲

- Acceleration of flank fire fronts
 - Larger, more intense fire than initial fires







Fig. 2. General view of combustion table DE4 during the preparation and the performance of test L3030PP-63. (*a*) Reference image before the test. The Pitot tubes can be seen on the left side of the table. (*b*) At ignition: time t = 0 s; (*c*) t = 2 s; (*d*) t = 18 s.



Extreme fire behavior

- Lateral Fire Growth
 - Sharp acceleration of fire laterally behind a ridge
 - Much larger fire front spreading after ridge than initial fire front reaching the ridge



Fig. 2. General view of the combustion wind tunnel of the Forest Fire Research Laboratory of the University of Coimbra with the model of the two-dimensional hill. The length of the working section is 8 m and its width is 6 m. Maximum flow velocity is 8 m s⁻¹.





Fig. 1. (a) Schematic representation of the fire; (b) details of the fire spread analysis.

Extreme fire behavior

- Fire Eruptions
 - Self-accelerating fires in canyons, most likely due to flame attachment
 - Most commonly from slope effect
 - Wind effect
 - Well documented in case studies

Mann Gulch Fire: A Race That Couldn't Be Won Richard C. Rothermel













Extreme fire behavior

• Fire Eruptions

Palasca Fire, December 17, 2000 Corsica, France (Blowup area: circa 6 ha)



- 2 firefighters died, 5 severely injured
- Fire eruptions: self-accelerating fires in canyons
- Estimated rate of spread: 20 km/h
- Quickly controlled by aerial means after the accident

Spot fires

- Different steps
 - Generation

Trajectory

$$\vec{\mathbf{F}}_{\text{Drag}} = \frac{1}{2} C_{\text{D}} \rho_{\text{air}} A_{\text{proj}} \left| \vec{\mathbf{V}}_{\text{R}} \right|^2 \frac{\vec{\mathbf{V}}_{\text{R}}}{\left| \vec{\mathbf{V}}_{\text{R}} \right|^2}$$

- Combustion
 - $\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\pi\rho\,\tau}{4}\frac{\mathrm{d}D^2}{\mathrm{d}t}$
- Ignition of vegetation

> WUI Fires

- Spread mechanisms
 - Convective transfer / Flame contact
 - Radiative transfer
 - Firebrands
- Can be vegetation-to-structure or structure-to-structure
- Complex interaction between topography, wind, vegetation and structures
 - WUI and community geometry channeling wind, flames, and firebrands
 - Spread corridors exist through communities

46

Types of Fire Behavior

> WUI Fires

- Whole areas are wiped out
- Fires often transition from wildland fires to urban / suburban fires
- WUI fires involve other types of fuels
- Ornamental vegetation can be left almost untouched

47

Types of Fire Behavior

Firebrand showers

- Very intense and short distance spotting
- Can create acceleration bursts of the fire front
- Very strong impact at the WUI
- Can spread fire by flows of embers on the ground

Outline

- Introduction
- General Description
- Types of Fire Behavior
- Case Study
- Conclusions

Field Experiment - Measurements

- Aerial imagery: Series of georeferenced stills taken using RIT's Wildfire Airborne Sensor Program (WASP)
- Towers: Overstory (8 thermocouples and 1 3D Sonic Anemometer) and understory (5 thermocouples, 1 vertical flow sensor, 1 vertical dual-band radiometer)
- Fire behavior packages: 4 thermocouples, 6 thin-skin calorimeters (total heat flux), 3D flow velocity

Case-Study

Field Experiment - Fire Spread

Field Experiment - Fire Spread

Field Experiment - Fire Spread

- Fuel consumption is dependent on fire dynamics (and vice versa)
- Difficult to differentiate what was burned during / after fire

Field Experiment - Fuel Consumption

Pre- and post-fire photographs and branch size measurements were used to estimate fuel consumption

Not all 1-hour fuels were consumed (contrarily to common assumption):

- For better estimation of fuel consumption and to better support modeling, this calss should be divided into sub-groups: $S_1 < 2.00 \text{ mm}$; $S_2 = 2.01-4.00 \text{ mm}$; $S_3 = 4.01-6.35 \text{ mm}$.
- All S_1 consumed but less than 50% of and no S_3 .

Field Experiment - Fuel Consumption

 Bark consumption estimated by measuring trunk circumference regression

- Most Radius variations between
 0.32 and 6 mm
- Same thickness as bark pieces collected in pans

Field Experiment - Firebrands

Flaming firebrands allowed a surface fire to cross easily a narrow fuel break

Field Experiment - Firebrands

Over 70% of firebrands were made of bark

Field Experiment - Firebrands

- Particle tracking with infrared camera
- Early firebrand production
- Erratic motion of firebrands with recirculation was observed

Field Experiment - Firebrand Impact Experiment

Experimental conditions

- Wind
- Wood material
- Amount of firebrands
- Size and material of firebrands
- Wedge angle
- Tilt angle
- Sample gap

Flaming ignition occurred after fire punched through the sample

Flaming occurred on the back face of the sample

Outline

- Introduction
- General Description
- Types of Fire Behavior
- Case Study
- Conclusions

Conclusions

- Wildand fires are extremely complex phenomena involving many different mechanisms
- We still know little about the fundamentals of these mechanisms and how to quantify strongly coupled phenomena
- Extreme fires are a growing problem that correspond to very specific regimes of fire behavior
- Engineering solutions can and should be designed for specific issues

Safety distances

60